

ARIZONA STATEWIDE SURVEY

Interview Schedule

Project #09215
Public Opinion Strategies

June 3-4 & 7, 2009
N=400 Reg. Voters + OS in Coconino & Mohave Counties
Margin of Error: $\pm 4.9\%$ Statewide / $\pm 5.66\%$ OS

* Denotes result less than 0.5%.

^ Denotes rounding. Due to rounding, some figures may be higher or lower by less than one-half of one percent.

Before we begin, I am going to ask you a few questions about yourself, just to be sure we have a representative sample. **(DO NOT PAUSE)**

D1. In what year were you born?

CORE OS

3%	8%	18 - 24
17%	12%	25 - 34
24%	21%	35 - 44
20%	14%	45 - 54
20%	24%	55 - 64
16%	21%	65 AND ABOVE

* 1% REFUSED

A. Are you registered to vote at this address?

100% YES (**CONTINUE TO QUESTION B**)

B. Are you, or is anyone in your household, employed by a newspaper, television or radio station, a political party, or by a candidate for political office?

100% NO (**CONTINUE**)

Thinking about the land, air and water around Grand Canyon National Park –

1. Would you say that – **(ROTATE)** more needs to be done to protect the air, land and water around the Grand Canyon, enough is being done to protect the air, land and water around the Grand Canyon, or too much is being done to protect the air, land and water around the Grand Canyon?

CORE OS

43%	43%	MORE NEEDS TO BE DONE
35%	37%	ENOUGH BEING DONE
5%	6%	TOO MUCH BEING DONE
16%	14%	DON'T KNOW (DO NOT READ)
–	*	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

2. How much have you seen, read, or heard about uranium mining in national forests and other public lands around Grand Canyon National Park? **(ROTATE TOP TO BOTTOM, BOTTOM TO TOP)**

CORE OS

21%	29%	TOTAL A LOT/SOMETHING
79%	70%^	TOTAL A LITTLE/NOTHING
6%	12%	A lot
15%	17%	Something
26%	29%	Only a little
53%	42%	Nothing at all
*	*	DON'T KNOW (DO NOT READ)
–	1%	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

ASK ALL:

3. In the last three years, more than three thousand mining claims have been filed within a few miles of Grand Canyon National Park, to mine uranium, the mineral which is used in nuclear energy production and nuclear weapons. There is a proposal to stop future mining claims on publicly owned lands near the National Park and limit mining to existing, proven sources.

Do you support or oppose protecting public lands near Grand Canyon National Park by placing a limit on mining to existing proven sources?

(IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK:) And is that STRONGLY or just SOMEWHAT (SUPPORT/OPPOSE)?

COMBINED DATA - JUNE 3-4 & 7

CORE OS

64% **66%[^]** **TOTAL SUPPORT**
29% **26%** **TOTAL OPPOSE**

39% 46% STRONGLY SUPPORT
25% 21% SOMEWHAT SUPPORT
14% 12% SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
15% 14% STRONGLY OPPOSE

6% 8% DON'T KNOW (**DO NOT READ**)
* – REFUSED (**DO NOT READ**)

* On June 3, question wording read, "Does this sound like something you would (**ROTATE**) be more likely to support or be more likely to oppose?"

CORE DATA

6/3 **6/4 & 7**
54% **69%**
35% **26%**

OVERSAMPLE DATA

6/3 **6/4 & 7**
56%[^] **71%** **TOTAL SUPPORT**
33%[^] **23%** **TOTAL OPPOSE**

32% 42% 31% 53% STRONGLY SUPPORT
22% 27% 26% 18% SOMEWHAT SUPPORT
12% 15% 15% 10% SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
23% 11% 17% 13% STRONGLY OPPOSE

10% 5% 11% 6% DON'T KNOW (**DO NOT READ**)
1% – – – REFUSED (**DO NOT READ**)

ASK IF OPPOSE IN Q3 (Q3:3-4), N=116 IN CORE // N=78 IN OVERSAMPLE

4. And is that because this proposal goes too far in limiting mining, or not far enough in limiting mining?

<u>Skip</u>		<u>Total</u>		
<u>Core</u>	<u>OS</u>	<u>Core</u>	<u>OS</u>	
74%	58%	21%	15%	Too far
15%	19%	4%	5%	Not far enough
11%	20%	3%	5%	UNSURE (DO NOT READ)
-	2%	-	1%	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

I'd like to read you two viewpoints about this proposal – **(ROTATE Q5-6 & Q7-8. RECORD ROTATION ORDER.)**

5. (Some/other) people say... we should SUPPORT this proposal to stop future mining claims on publicly owned lands near Grand Canyon National Park because hundreds of these mines could be developed near the heavily visited South entrance to the park, threatening drinking water for millions, the scenic beauty, wildlife and the visiting experience of four million tourists a year who contribute over seven hundred million dollars to the area's economy. Besides, there are many other sources of uranium in the U.S. and Canada that will not put the Grand Canyon at risk.

How convincing is this as a reason to SUPPORT this proposal to stop future mining claims on publicly owned lands near Grand Canyon National Park?

CORE OS

75%[^]	76%	TOTAL CONVINCING
25%	21%	TOTAL NOT CONVINCING
37%	43%	Very convincing
37%	33%	Somewhat convincing
15%	11%	Not very convincing
10%	10%	Not at all convincing
1%	2%	UNSURE (DO NOT READ)
*	1%	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

IF VERY CONVINCING, THEN ASK: (Q5:1), N=150 IN CORE // N=130 IN OVERSAMPLE

6. What specifically in that statement was most convincing as a reason to stop future mining claims on publicly owned lands near Grand Canyon National Park? **(DO NOT READ)**

CORE OS

38%	37%	Threatens drinking water
31%	23%	Other sources of uranium
7%	7%	Threatens scenic beauty
5%	13%	Threatens experience of 4 million visitors
5%	3%	Visitors contribute 750 million to economy
4%	6%	Threatens wildlife
6%	5%	ALL/COMBINATION: (But if you had to choose just one part of that statement which would you say is most convincing?)
1%	1%	NONE/OTHER
3%	3%	UNSURE
–	–	REFUSED

7. (Some/other) people say... we should OPPOSE this proposal to stop future mining claims on publicly owned lands near Grand Canyon National Park, because it will lock away fifteen hundred square miles of land from all mining and exploration, supposedly to protect a National Park that is already well protected. This proposal also increases America's dependence on foreign, dirty energy, as this valuable resource is capable of producing clean electricity for millions of people, hurts the security of the U.S., and will hurt Arizona's economy and tax base.

How convincing is this as a reason to OPPOSE this proposal to stop future mining claims on publicly owned lands near Grand Canyon National Park?

<u>CORE</u>		<u>OS</u>	
50%	58%		TOTAL CONVINCING
48%	38%		TOTAL NOT CONVINCING
20%	24%		Very convincing
30%	34%		Somewhat convincing
27%	17%		Not very convincing
21%	21%		Not at all convincing
2%	4%		UNSURE (DO NOT READ)
*	*		REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

IF VERY CONVINCING, THEN ASK: (Q8:1), N=81 IN CORE // N=71 IN OVERSAMPLE

8. What specifically in that statement was most convincing as a reason to oppose this proposal to stop future mining claims on publicly owned lands near Grand Canyon National Park? (**DO NOT READ**)

<u>CORE</u>		<u>OS</u>	
25%	15%		Increases dependence on foreign energy
11%	8%		Hurts AZ economy
9%	8%		Park already well protected
8%	4%		Valuable resource produces clean electricity
6%	9%		Increases dependence on dirty energy
6%	3%		Lock away 1500 square miles
3%	2%		Hurts security of US
-	1%		Hurts AZ tax base
13%	6%		ALL/COMBINATION: (But if you had to choose just one part of that statement which would you say is most convincing?)
8%	31%		NONE/OTHER
10%	11%		UNSURE
1%	-		REFUSED

ASK ALL:

9. Having heard more on both sides of the issue, do you support or oppose protecting public lands near Grand Canyon National Park by placing a limit on mining to existing proven sources?

(IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK:) And is that **STRONGLY** or just **SOMEWHAT** (SUPPORT/OPPOSE)?

INFORMED BALLOT:

<u>CORE</u>	<u>OS</u>	
66%	68%^	TOTAL SUPPORT
28%^	25%	TOTAL OPPOSE
43%	49%	STRONGLY SUPPORT
23%	20%	SOMEWHAT SUPPORT
14%	12%	SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
15%	13%	STRONGLY OPPOSE
5%	6%	DON'T KNOW (DO NOT READ)
*	—	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

INITIAL BALLOT:

<u>CORE</u>	<u>OS</u>	
64%	66%^	TOTAL SUPPORT
29%	26%	TOTAL OPPOSE
39%	46%	STRONGLY SUPPORT
25%	21%	SOMEWHAT SUPPORT
14%	12%	SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
15%	14%	STRONGLY OPPOSE
6%	8%	DON'T KNOW (DO NOT READ)
*	—	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

10. And would you be more likely or less likely to vote for a candidate for public office if he or she supports uranium mining next to Grand Canyon National Park or would it make no difference in your vote decision?

(IF CHOICE ASK:) Is that MUCH (more/less) likely or just SOMEWHAT (more/less) likely?

CORE OS

9%[^]	14%	TOTAL MORE LIKELY
37%	44%[^]	TOTAL LESS LIKELY
4%	6%	MUCH MORE LIKELY
6%	8%	SOMEWHAT MORE LIKELY
14%	10%	SOMEWHAT LESS LIKELY
23%	35%	MUCH LESS LIKELY
50%	37%	NO DIFFERENCE
3%	4%	DON'T KNOW (DO NOT READ)
*	*	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

Now, talking some more about you...

- D2. Have you had a hunting or fishing license in the past three years? (**IF YES, THEN ASK:**) And is that a hunting license or a fishing license?

<u>CORE OS</u>		
25%^	32%	TOTAL YES
3%	3%	YES/HUNTING
13%	16%	YES/FISHING
10%	13%	YES/BOTH
75%	68%	NEITHER
–	–	DON'T KNOW (DO NOT READ)
–	–	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

I have just a few more questions for statistical purposes only...

- D3. What was the last level of education you completed? (**DO NOT READ CATEGORIES**)

<u>CORE OS</u>		
16%	23%	HIGH SCHOOL OR LESS
28%	35%	SOME COLLEGE
55%	42%^	COLLEGE+
16%	23%	GRADUATED HIGH SCHOOL OR LESS
3%	3%	TECHNICAL OR VOCATIONAL SCHOOL
25%	32%	SOME COLLEGE
30%	27%	GRADUATED COLLEGE
25%	16%	GRADUATE/PROFESSIONAL SCHOOL
1%	–	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

D4. No matter how you feel today, are you registered to vote as ...**(ROTATE)**
a Republican, a Democrat, or an Independent?

CORE OS

37%	39%	REPUBLICAN
34%	37%	DEMOCRAT
25%	20%	INDEPENDENT
2%	1%	(DO NOT READ) SOMETHING ELSE
2%	3%	(DO NOT READ) REFUSED

D5. How would you describe the area where you currently live?

CORE OS

43%	2%	A big city
26%	7%	A suburban area
17%	64%	A small town
15%	25%	A rural area
*	1%	DK/NS (DO NOT READ)
*	*	Refused (DO NOT READ)

D6. Other than being an American, what is your main ethnic or racial heritage? (**READ CHOICES**)

CORE OS

3%	*	AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK
74%	72%	WHITE
16%	13%	HISPANIC
2%	*	ASIAN AMERICAN
3%	11%	NATIVE AMERICAN OR AMERICAN INDIAN
1%	*	OTHER (DO NOT READ) (IF PUNCH , THEN ASK:) Now I realize you just said your main ethnic or racial heritage doesn't fall into any of the categories I just mentioned...but if you had to choose JUST ONE of these categories, which is ONE would you choose?
2%	3%	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

D7. GENDER (**BY OBSERVATION**)

CORE OS

48%	48%	MALE
52%	52%	FEMALE
