REFERENCE AREAS

Ecological Gold Standards for Dixie, Fishlake, and Manti-La Sal National Forests



Proposed Reference Area: Sagebrush community, wet riparian meadow, and multi-age aspen, at confluence of L. Fork Huntington Creek and Staker Canyons (Manti-La Sal NF)

A Report and Proposal Mary O'Brien, Wayne Hoskisson, and Season Martin Grand Canyon Trust January 12, 2009

REFERENCE AREAS Ecological Gold Standards for Dixie, Fishlake, and Manti-La Sal National Forests

Table of Contents

I.	A Reference Area	3
II.	History of Three Forests Reference Area Proposal	.4
III.	Justification for Reference Areas	5
IV.	Beneficial Uses of Reference Areas	.5
V.	Reference Areas are Necessary, But Not Sufficient	.6
VI.	Proposal for Reference Areas in Dixie, Fishlake, and Manti-La Sal NFs	.7
Ap	pendix: Reference Area Reports Table of Contents	11
Ap	pendix A YES; YES, IFReports	2
<mark>Ap</mark>	pendix B NO Reports	

Grand Canyon Trust is grateful to Beagle Foundation and Wilburforce Foundation for encouragement and support of the effort to achieve identification and use of reference areas on our shared national public lands.

I. A Reference Area

A *reference area* is a Forest "gold standard": the best, most intact, least impacted example (ideally 200-1,000 acres) of a vegetation and wildlife habitat that is at least moderately widespread elsewhere on the Forest, but often in an unacceptably degraded condition.

"Least impacted" is defined as free of livestock grazing for at least the past 10 years; roadless (including no ORVs); no major water diversions or other developments. Necessary ecological forest treatments and noxious weed control allowed; wildland fire suppression avoided as possible.



Miniature prototype of a reference area: permanent fenced plot (Dixie NF)

II. History of Three Forests Reference Areas Proposal

2004	Three Forests Coalition proposes the identification and use of reference areas in the Sustainable Multiple Use Alternative for all three forest plans, and discusses the proposal individually with each Forest Supervisor and the Regional Forester.
	Grand Canyon Trust meets with Bob Thompson (M-LS) and Mark Loewen (Dixie and Fishlake) for their suggestions on reference- condition sites.
2005- present	The Three Forests Coalition includes its proposal for reference areas in nearly every Environmental Assessment and Environmental Impact Statement for forest projects. It has never been included in the Forest's preferred alternative.
	As each new supervisor and Regional Forester arrives, Three Forests Coalition again presents the proposal.
2007	Three Forests Coalition meets with Fishlake staff for additional suggestions of potential reference areas.
2007-2008	Trust staff visits and describes potential reference areas. Areas visited are examined for general physical and vegetative condition; photographed; and rated as (1) suitable as a reference area ("YES"); (2) suitable as a reference area if minor management changes are afforded the area ("YES, IF"); (3) not suitable as a reference area ("NO").



Proposed ponderosa pine reference area in Cedar Breaks NP.

III. Justification for Reference Areas

The Purpose of Reference Areas is to understand the effects of management and forest user activities on the forests.

• Fundamental to science

We can't understand or predict effects of an activity or treatment if we don't have a no-activity or no-treatment control.

• Fundamental to management

We can't understand or predict the effects of forest activities if we don't examine conditions on the forest absent those activities.



Meadow, SE corner of Cedar Breaks NM

IV. Beneficial Uses of Reference Areas

- 1. <u>Compare with similar habitats</u> subject to various forest uses and activities.
- 2. <u>Inform restoration</u> goals.
- 3. <u>Separate drought or climate change impacts from impacts of forest uses</u> and management activities. Track recovery of native vegetation/wildlife with and without activities present.
- 4. <u>Better understand plant and wildlife interactions</u> with and without activities present.
- 5. <u>Provide for collaborative, visual understanding</u> of current and potential conditions on the forest.

V. Reference Areas Are Necessary, But Not Sufficient

- 1. **Not Pristine.** All Forest sites have been and are being variously impacted directly and indirectly by human uses and activities. Reference areas represent changes, recovery, and perhaps continued simplification/degradation in the absence of most of the activities and uses that currently impact the forests.
- 2. **Do Not Provide Simple Goals.** Some impacted sites can never recover the diversity or complexity of some of the reference areas. Nevertheless, reference areas can inform recovery and restoration goals.
- 3. Are Not Always One-to-One Comparators. The variation among sagebrush communities, riparian areas, meadows, springs, and beaver and other habitats precludes use of one reference area for all variations of that habitat. Nevertheless, some features may be broadly common or informative. Moreover, additional reference areas can be added for finer comparisons, as such sites are needed and identified.



Gold Knob subalpine vegetation (Manti-La Sal NF), at 11,000' is generally not useful as a meadow reference area for a low-elevation meadow.

VI. Proposal for Reference Areas in Dixie, Fishlake, and Manti-La Sal NFs

- <u>Commit to acknowledgment and maintenance of a reasonable number of</u> <u>reference areas</u>, a lack of impacting activities in examples of seven key, vulnerable habitats on Dixie, Fishlake, and Manti-La Sal NFs.
 - o Riparian areas highest known biodiversity habitat
 - Aspen second highest known biodiversity habitat
 - Beaver-occupied streams premier hydrological engineering
 - Springs water sources, endemic fauna and flora
 - Ponderosa pine communities a focus of restoration
 - Sagebrush communities disappearing habitat
 - Meadows including tall forb meadows
- <u>Collaboratively select and characterize reference areas</u> with Grand Canyon Trust and other interested parties in the.
- <u>Encourage field staff to visit the reference areas</u> in order to estimate consequences of proposed or past impacting activities on comparable habitat types.
- <u>Consider and use accurate information gathered during research</u> and other assessments on the reference areas and comparable habitat types.
- <u>Continue to add reference areas as needed</u> to more precisely match comparable habitat types.



Proposed Beaver Reference Area: Tasha Creek (Fishlake NF)

PROPOSED REFERENCE AREAS					
Habitat	Name	Forest	Ranger		
Туре			District		
Aspen	North Canyon	Manti-La Sal	Monticello		
	Shuman Gulch/Gold Knob	Manti-La Sal	Moab		
	Washburn	Fishlake	Richfield		
Beaver	Lake Canyon	Manti-La Sal	Price		
	North Creek	Dixie	Escalante		
	Tasha Creek	Fishlake	Fremont		
Meadow	Brigg's Camp Creek (private)	Fishlake	Beaver		
	Cedar Breaks	Cedar Breaks National Monument			
	Gold Knob	Manti-La Sal	Moab		
	Ridgeline Meadow	Fishlake	Beaver		
Ponderosa	Black Canyon	Manti-La Sal	Ferron		
	Bryce Canyon	Bryce Canyon National Park			
	Seaman Canyon	Dixie	Cedar City		
Riparian	Lower Box Canyon	Fishlake	Richfield		
Sagebrush	Bryce Canyon	Bryce Canyon National Park	Bryce Canyon		
	Cottonwood Canyon	Fishlake	Cottonwood Canyon		
	Gold Gulch	Fishlake	Gold Gulch		
Spring	Hugh Blackburn (private)	Fishlake	Beaver		
	Nazer Draw (private)	Dixie	Escalante		



Proposed spring reference area: Nazer Draw, private land below Dixie National Forest

PROPOSED REFERENCE AREAS IF MANAGEMENT CHANGES				
Habitat	Name	Forest	Ranger	Management
			District	Change(s)
Aspen	Mt Spring Fork	Dixie	Powell	Omit cattle usage among aspen to insure multi-tier stand
	Staker Canyon	Manti-La Sal	Price	Omit the occasional year of sheep grazing
Beaver	Antimony	Dixie	Escalante	Omit cattle usage of riparian and wetland complex; allow for beaver return
	Scad Canyon	Manti-La Sal	Price	Allow for beaver return to what was once an extensive beaver complex; omit sheep use of riparian area and erodible slopes above
	Seeley Canyon	Manti-La Sal	Price	Omit cattle usage of riparian willow; allow for beaver return
	Staker Canyon	Manti-La Sal	Price	Reintroduce beaver
	Three Creeks	Fishlake	Beaver	Omit the already-uncommon cattle usage of Britts Meadow. Re-route the ORV trail that is immediately adjacent to Three Creeks below the Reservoir
	West Fork Hunt Creek	Dixie	Powell	Reintroduce beaver. Remove cattle usage in wetland and riparian complex.
Sagebrush	Staker Canyon	Manti-La Sal	Price	Omit the occasional year of sheep grazing
Spring	Red Canyon	Fishlake	Fremont	Protect the spring from incidental hiker impacts
	Tasha Spring	Fishlake	Fremont	Omit sheep grazing in spring (Tasha Creek headwaters) and surrounding wet meadow



Three Creeks has an active beaver colony, but the adjacent ORV route sheds sediment into the creek and blocks expansion of riparian willows.

	EXAMPLES OF SITES VISITED, BUT					
	FOUND UNSUITABLE AS REFERENCE AREAS ¹					
Habitat	Name	Forest	Ranger	Reason(s) Unsuitable as		
			District	Reference Area		
Aspen	Barker Reservoir Road	Dixie	Escalante	A multi-tier aspen stand, but some ramets repeatedly browsed; both cattle and elk use		
	Birch Creek	Fishlake	Richfield	Small aspen area; little understory in unburned aspen; cattle use; ORV use		
	Oak Creek Exclosure	Dixie	Escalante	Small size; heavy elk pressure as exclosure is surrounded by heavily cattle-grazed vegetation; little recruitment		
	Splatter Canyon Fire	Fishlake	Richfield	Mostly single-tier; heavily browsed by elk, cattle, deer; dispersed camping and ORV impacts in area		
Riparian	Clear Creek	Fremont Indian State Park		Current Clear Creek course constrained by I-70; dominants include exotics; meanders lined with stone rip-rap; trespass cattle; sheep are trailed through Park		
Beaver	Caddy/Butler Creek	Dixie	Pine Valley	Beaver not active; less than 1 cfs in creek, it is completely dry in one section; no aspen regeneration, ramets, heavily browsed		
	Upper Kanab Creek	Dixie	Powell	Though a 400' old beaver dam, currently all willow have been browsed to 1'. No aspen recruitment; single- or two-tier aspen stands		
	Black Canyon	Manti-La Sal	Ferron	Diverse willow recruitment, but channel incised more than 12'; no riparian meadows		
	Lowry Water Canyon	Manti-La Sal	Ferron	Old beaver dams present and willow seedlings on point bars, but no recent beaver activity; aspens beneath powerline sprayed with herbicide; directly below developed campground; not much willow or aspen		
Meadow	Horse Pasture Canyon	Manti-La Sal	Monticello	Until recently the wet meadow had not been grazed, but now horses have been grazing the meadow. Headcutting is coming up from Dark Canyon; cheatgrass and Kentucky bluegrass present.		

-

¹ Additional sites were visited and rejected, but reports were not prepared

APPENDICES TO BE COMPLETED BY JANUARY 28, 2009 A: Reference reports: YES and YES, IF

B: Reference reports: NO