Exhibit 4
I think this is the email he is talking about – see the highlighted portion.

Megan Dunford
epg

From: Ryan Clerico [mailto:Ryan.Clerico@enefitamericanoil.com]
Sent: Monday, August 12, 2013 1:29 PM
To: Michael Doyle
Cc: Amanda O'Connor; Megan Dunford; Howard, Stephanie J
Subject: Re: Baseline Reports and GIS Data

Hi Mike,

Here are some responses for you:

Baseline Reports
1. I received a draft of the Q2 2013 surface water baseline sampling effort this past Friday, and I expect that it will be complete and ready to deliver to BLM by the end of this week.
2. The Q2 2013 groundwater baseline report is still a couple of weeks out, as there were some delays in the drilling program. I would expect the end of August or into September for that one.
3. There will not be a water rights baseline report. Enefit has access to a senior 15-cfs water right 49-258 under legal agreement with Deseret Generation and Transmission, which is described in the proposed action. No other water rights would be used. If you need specifics on the water right, current status, etc., let me know and I can prepare a formal memorandum for the administrative record.
4. We will describe potential water resources effects of our proposed action in our Detailed Plan of Development (DPOD), as opposed to a separate technical report.
5. The final ambient air quality monitoring report, covering our 18-month monitoring period from 1 January 2012 through 30 June 2013, should be ready for submittal to BLM by late August or early September. The data is going through the final audit review procedures before it can be certified, and the report will be generated shortly thereafter.
6. The air dispersion modeling for the full facility has been delayed, as the design of some industrial-plant process units is still being contemplated, although, we do now have emissions estimates for the construction of the proposed action utility corridor and I can provide those separately in the next couple of weeks. Regarding the dispersion modeling of the industrial plant and mine, since they are on private property, do not directly relate to the proposed action, and would be regulated by EPA under their PSD program, we had not anticipated submitting those results as part of this EIS process. If you feel that this would be required for your purposes, let me know and we can discuss timing on getting BLM the requested materials.
7. Regarding the geochemical and leaching report, we have conducted lab-scale testwork on each of the material classes but have not generated a formal report yet. However, as with the dispersion modeling, we had not anticipated submitting those results as part of this EIS process. Any potential impacts from leaching would occur on the private property as part of the UDOGM-regulated mine activity. If you feel that this would be required for your purposes, let me know and we can discuss timing on getting BLM a report, and/or I can direct you to outside literature sources that you may be able to use in place of our data.
8. Now that our project-wide prefeasibility study is complete, we are interviewing potential consultants to prepare an independent socioeconomic impact assessment of the project. Many of the inputs to that modeling and assessment effort were generated by the prefeasibility study, hence the timing. I would expect that this study could be commissioned by early September and will probably take 2-3 months.
9. We have traffic and transportation impacts estimated as a result of the project-wide prefeasibility study, and we will summarize those results in the DPOD.
10. The DPOD itself is in progress, utilizing the findings of the project-wide prefeasibility study. As I mentioned at the
We would like to see the scoping report before finalizing the DPOD, to ensure that we are addressing the issues that need to be addressed and identifying appropriate and sufficient mitigation measures for the anticipated impacts of the proposed action. Assuming the scoping report comes out in mid-September, I would expect about a month or two turnaround on finalizing and submitting the DPOD (depending on the breadth of issues identified in scoping).

4/2/13 RFI #2
Much of the outstanding information will be in the DPOD, as it was generated during the project-wide prefeasibility study. Specifically regarding water treatment though, there will be no discharges into waters of the U.S. This is being designed as a zero-discharge (i.e. closed-loop system). Timing for delivery of the DPOD is described above.

GIS Files
I understand that this has been resolved by SWCA. Please let me know if you need further assistance.

Ryan Clerico
Manager, Environmental and Regulatory Affairs
Enefit American Oil
Office: +1 801 363 0206
Mobile: +1 801 703 6983
Skype: Ryan.Clerico
Ryan.Clerico@enefitamericanoil.com
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