Strong Support for Designating the Grand Canyon a National Monument
GQR conducted a phone survey among 600 likely voters in Arizona from June 21 to June 27, 2022. Respondents were contacted through the voter file.

- 500 AZ likely voters
- 100 AZ Hispanic likely voters

Among all interviews, 44% were conducted by landline, 56% by cell

The data is subject to a margin of error of +/-4.0 percentage points at the 95 percent confidence interval; the margin of error is higher among subgroups. The data are statistically weighted to ensure the sample’s regional, age, and gender composition reflects that of the estimated likely voters in Arizona.

In this report, we also reference data from a survey by Colorado College and a bi-partisan research team of New Bridge Strategy (R) and Fairbank, Maslin, Maullin, Metz & Associates (D); January 5-22, 2023; English/Spanish offered; phone/online; 8-state poll including n=504 in Arizona
Strong bipartisan support for making GC a national monument

Do you support or oppose designating existing public lands immediately outside the Grand Canyon as a national monument, which would protect clean water supplies and Native American sites, while not allowing energy development or mining on these lands?

- **Strongly support**: 75%
- **Somewhat support**: 20%
- **Strongly oppose**: 3%
- **Somewhat oppose**: 2%

**Total**
- Support: 52%
- Oppose: 15%

**Democrats**
- Support: 73%
- Oppose: 6%

**Unaffiliated**
- Support: 60%
- Oppose: 12%

**Republicans**
- Support: 56%
- Oppose: 26%

**Hispanic**
- Support: 60%
- Oppose: 10%
Do you support or oppose designating existing public lands immediately outside the Grand Canyon as a national monument, which would protect clean water supplies and Native American sites, while not allowing energy development or mining on these lands?

- **Support**
  - Strongly support: 75
  - Somewhat support: 78
  - Strongly oppose: 70
  - Somewhat oppose: 70

- **Oppose**
  - Strongly support: 46
  - Somewhat support: 56
  - Strongly oppose: 51
  - Somewhat oppose: 20

**By County**

- **Maricopa**
  - Support: 6
  - Oppose: 5

- **Pima**
  - Support: 8
  - Oppose: 9

- **Other**
  - Support: 43
  - Oppose: 19
As you may know, presidents of both parties have used the ability to protect existing public lands as national monuments in order to maintain public access and protect the land and wildlife for future generations. Do you support or oppose presidents continuing to have the ability to protect existing public lands as national monuments?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Political Affiliation</th>
<th>Strongly Support</th>
<th>Somewhat Support</th>
<th>Strongly Oppose</th>
<th>Somewhat Oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democrats</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaffiliated</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republicans</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Even more feel presidents should have ability to protect lands
Support extends across partisanship and generation

Do you support or oppose Presidents continuing to use their ability to protect existing public lands as national monuments?

Data from State of the Rockies Project.
Bi-partisan research team of New Bridge Strategy (R) and Fairbank, Maslin, Maullin, Metz & Associates (D); Jan 5-22, 2023 in English/Spanish, phone/online, demographic data is 8-state total.
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